

Criteria of the Internal Grant Agency applied in the evaluation of doctoral projects supported by the Institute of Chemical Processes of the CAS

1. Professional level of the project (evaluation of the current state) 0-6 points

Does the proposer demonstrate knowledge of the subject matter and some erudition in the field? Is he/she able to critically evaluate the current state of the art and justify the need for further research? Does the project proposal rely on good quality sources?

2. Formulation of objectives and realistic achievement of objectives 0-8 points

Are the project objectives well defined, sufficiently ambitious, original and achievable? Does the proposer have a clear idea of what he/she wants to achieve?

3. Methodological aspect of the project (solution procedure and originality) 0-6 points

Are the procedures leading to the achievement of the objectives described in sufficient detail and specificity? Does the proposer have an idea of how the objectives will be achieved, including the analysis procedures?

4. Project presentation (brief introduction of the project) 0-8 points

Is the proposer able to present the objectives of the project and the potential benefits of the project results in a five-minute presentation?

5. Qualifications of the proposer 0-4 points

Evaluation of previous and current studies (Master's degree with honours, exams passed, publications, active participation in conferences ...).

**6. Adequacy of the financial requirements with respect to the complexity of the project
yes / no**

Overall project proposal score

28-32 points excellent

23-27 points very good

18-22 points good

13-17 points average

0 - 12 points below average

Overall verbal evaluation of the project proposal

Project strengths:

Project weaknesses: